

Holographic Relation in Yang's Quantized Space-Time Algebra and Area-Entropy Relation in D_0 Brane Gas System

Sho Tanaka*

Kurodani 33-4, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8331, Japan

In the preceding paper, we derived a kind of kinematical holographic relation (KHR) in the Lorentz- covariant Yang's quantized space-time algebra (YSTA). It essentially reflects the fundamental nature of the noncommutative geometry of YSTA and its representation, that is, a definite kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom in comparison with the ordinary lattice space. On the basis of the relation and its extension to various spatial dimensions, we derive a new area-entropy relation in a simple D_0 brane gas system subject to YSTA, following the idea of M-theory. Furthermore, we make clear its inner relation with the Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy relation in connection with Schwarzschild black hole.

Key words: Yang's quantized space-time algebra(YSTA); kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom; holographic relation in YSTA; area-entropy relation; Schwarzschild black hole; D_0 brane gas model.

*Em. Professor of Kyoto University, E-mail: st-desc@kyoto.zaq.ne.jp

1 Introduction

In the preceding paper, ^[1] referred hereafter as I, we derived a kind of holographic relation in the Lorentz-covariant Yang's quantized space-time algebra (YSTA), ^{[1],[2],[3]} which we called the kinematical holographic relation (KHR). As was emphasized in I, the relation essentially reflects the fundamental nature of the noncommutative geometry of YSTA, that is, a definite kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom in comparison with the ordinary lattice space. As will be shown in the present paper, this relation seems also to give an important clue to resolve the long-pending problem encountered in the Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy relation^[4] or the holographic principle,^[5] that is, the apparent gap between the degrees of freedom of any bounded spatial region associated with entropy and of its boundary area.

In addition to the last problem, in the arguments of the holographic principle, the limit of the present local field theory has been discussed, as seen, for instance, in the unified regularization or cutoff of UV/IR divergences. With respect to this problem, as was emphasized in refs. [1], [2], YSTA which is intrinsically equipped with short- and long-scale parameters, λ and R , gives a finite number of spatial degrees of freedom for any finite spatial region and provides a basis for the field theory free from ultraviolet- and infrared-divergences.

In fact, we found in I, the following form of kinematical holographic relation (KHR) in YSTA,

$$[KHR] \quad n_{\text{dof}}^L = \mathcal{A}/G,$$

that is, the proportional relation between n_{dof}^L and $\mathcal{A}$ with proportional constant G , where n_{dof}^L and $\mathcal{A}$, respectively, denote the number of degrees of freedom of any spherical bounded spatial region with radius L in Yang's quantized space-time and the boundary area in unit of λ .

In this paper, we derive a new area-entropy relation [AER] on the basis of the above [KHR] and make clear its inner relation with the ordinary Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy relation in connection with Schwarzschild black hole. It will be made through a simple D_0 brane gas model^[6] on Yang's quantized space-time according to the idea of M-theory,^[7] with the aid of a kind of Gedanken-experiment on the present static toy model.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly recapitulate Yang's quantized space-time algebra (YSTA) and its representations. Sec. 3 is devoted to the recapitulation of the kinematical holographic relation (KHR) and to its extension to the lower-dimensional bounded regions, V_d^L . In section 4, we introduce a simple D_0 brane (D-particle) gas model on

V_d^L and find a new area-entropy relation in the system in connection with Schwarzschild black hole. In the final section, we discuss the inner relation between our area-entropy relation based on KHR in YSTA and the ordinary Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy relation and point out our future task beyond the present simple D_0 brane gas model.

2 Yang's Quantized Space-Time Algebra (YSTA) and Its Representations

2.1 Yang's Quantized Space-Time Algebra (YSTA)

Let us first recapitulate briefly the Lorentz-covariant Yang's quantized space-time algebra (YSTA). D -dimensional Yang's quantized space-time algebra is introduced^{[1],[2]} as the result of the so-called Inonu-Wigner's contraction procedure with two contraction parameters, R and λ , from $SO(D+1,1)$ algebra with generators $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$;

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{MN} \equiv i(q_M \partial / \partial q_N - q_N \partial / \partial q_M), \quad (2.1)$$

which work on $(D+2)$ -dimensional parameter space q_M ($M = \mu, a, b$) satisfying

$$-q_0^2 + q_1^2 + \cdots + q_{D-1}^2 + q_a^2 + q_b^2 = R^2. \quad (2.2)$$

Here, $q_0 = -iq_D$ and $M = a, b$ denote two extra dimensions with space-like metric signature.

D -dimensional space-time and momentum operators, $\hat{X}_\mu$ and $\hat{P}_\mu$, with $\mu = 1, 2, \cdots, D$, are defined in parallel by

$$\hat{X}_\mu \equiv \lambda \hat{\Sigma}_{\mu a} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\hat{P}_\mu \equiv \hbar / R \hat{\Sigma}_{\mu b}, \quad (2.4)$$

together with D -dimensional angular momentum operator $\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \hbar \hat{\Sigma}_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.5)$$

and the so-called reciprocity operator

$$\hat{N} \equiv \lambda / R \hat{\Sigma}_{ab}. \quad (2.6)$$

Operators $(\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{P}_\mu, \hat{M}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{N})$ defined above satisfy the so-called contracted algebra of the original $SO(D+1,1)$, or Yang's space-time algebra (YSTA):

$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu] = -i\lambda^2 / \hbar \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.7)$$

$$[\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{P}_\nu] = -i\hbar/R^2 \hat{M}_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.8)$$

$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{P}_\nu] = -i\hbar \hat{N} \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.9)$$

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{X}_\mu] = -i\lambda^2/\hbar \hat{P}_\mu \quad (2.10)$$

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{P}_\mu] = i\hbar/R^2 \hat{X}_\mu, \quad (2.11)$$

with familiar relations among $\hat{M}_{\mu\nu}$'s omitted.

2.2 Quasi-Regular Representation of YSTA

Let us further recapitulate briefly the representation^{[1],[2]} of YSTA for the subsequent consideration in section 4. First, it is important to notice the following elementary fact that $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$ defined in Eq.(2.1) with M, N being the same metric signature have discrete eigenvalues, i.e., $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, and those with M, N being opposite metric signature have continuous eigenvalues,¹ consistently with covariant commutation relations of YSTA. This fact was first emphasized by Yang^[3] in connection with the preceding Snyder's quantized space-time.^[8] This conspicuous aspect is well understood by means of the familiar example of the three-dimensional angular momentum in quantum mechanics, where individual components, which are noncommutative among themselves, are able to have discrete eigenvalues, consistently with the three-dimensional rotation-invariance.

This fact implies that Yang's space-time algebra (YSTA) presupposes for its representation space to take representation bases like

$$|t/\lambda, n_{12}, \dots \rangle \equiv |\hat{\Sigma}_{0a} = t/\lambda \rangle |\hat{\Sigma}_{12} = n_{12} \rangle \dots |\hat{\Sigma}_{910} = n_{910} \rangle, \quad (2.12)$$

where t denotes *time*, the continuous eigenvalue of $\hat{X}_0 \equiv \lambda \hat{\Sigma}_{0a}$ and $n_{12}, \dots$ discrete eigenvalues of maximal commuting set of subalgebra of $SO(D+1, 1)$ which are commutative with $\hat{\Sigma}_{0a}$, for instance, $\hat{\Sigma}_{12}, \hat{\Sigma}_{34}, \dots, \hat{\Sigma}_{910}$, when $D = 11$.^{[9],[1],[2]}

Indeed, an infinite dimensional linear space expanded by $|t/\lambda, n_{12}, \dots \rangle$ mentioned above provides a representation space of unitary infinite dimensional representation of YSTA. It is the so-called "quasi-regular representation"^[10] of $SO(D+1, 1)$,² and is decomposed into the infinite series of the ordinary unitary irreducible representations of $SO(D+1, 1)$ constructed on its maximal compact subalgebra, $SO(D+1)$.

¹The corresponding eigenfunctions are explicitly given in ref. [9].

²It corresponds, in the case of unitary representation of Lorentz group $SO(3, 1)$, to taking K_3 ($\sim \Sigma_{03}$) and J_3 ($\sim \Sigma_{12}$) to be diagonal, which have continuous and discrete eigenvalues, respectively, instead of $\mathbf{J}^2$ and J_3 in the familiar representation.

It means that there holds the following form of decomposition theorem,

$$|t/\lambda, n_{12}, \dots \rangle = \sum_{\sigma's} \sum_{l,m} C_{l,m}^{\sigma's, n_{12}, \dots}(t/\lambda) |\sigma's; l, m \rangle, \quad (2.13)$$

with expansion coefficients $C_{l,m}^{\sigma's, n_{12}, \dots}(t/\lambda)$.^{[9],[1]} In Eq.(2.13), $|\sigma's; l, m \rangle$'s on the right hand side describe the familiar unitary irreducible representation bases of $SO(D+1, 1)$, which are designated by $\sigma's$ and (l, m) ,³ denoting, respectively, the irreducible unitary representations of $SO(D+1, 1)$ and the associated irreducible representation bases of $SO(D+1)$, the maximal compact subalgebra of $SO(D+1, 1)$, mentioned above. It should be noted here that, as remarked in I, l 's are limited to be integer, excluding the possibility of half-integer, because of the fact that generators of $SO(D+1)$ in YSTA are defined as differential operators on S^D , i.e., $q_1^2 + q_2^2 + \dots + q_{D-1}^2 + q_a^2 + q_b^2 = 1$.

In what follows, let us call the infinite dimensional representation space introduced above for the representation of YSTA, Hilbert space I, in distinction to Hilbert space II which is Fock-space constructed dynamically by creation-annihilation operators of second-quantized fields on YSTA, such as D_0 brane field,^[9] discussed in section 4.

3 Kinematical Holographic Relation [KHR] in YSTA

3.1 Recapitulation of Kinematical Holographic Relation [KHR]

First, let us remember that the following kinematical holographic relation⁴

$$[KHR] \quad n_{\text{dof}}^L = \mathcal{A}/G, \quad (3.1)$$

with the proportional constant G

$$G \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{D/2}}{2} (D-1)!! \quad \text{for } D \text{ even} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sim (2\pi)^{(D-1)/2} (D-1)!! \quad \text{for } D \text{ odd}, \quad (3.3)$$

³In the familiar unitary irreducible representation of $SO(3, 1)$, it is well known that σ 's are represented by two parameters, (j_0, κ) , with j_0 being $1, 2, \dots, \infty$ and κ being purely imaginary number, for the so-called principal series of representation. With respect to the associated representation of $SO(3)$, when it is realized on S^2 , as in the present case, l 's denote positive integers, $l = j_0, j_0 + 1, j_0 + 2, \dots, \infty$, and m ranges over $\pm l, \pm(l-1), \dots, \pm 1, 0$.

⁴The argument in this subsection was given in I, ref. [1], on the basis of the following form of D_0 brane field equation: $[(X_\sigma^2 + R^2 N^2)((\partial/\partial X_\mu)^2 + R^{-2}(\partial/\partial N)^2) - (X_\mu \partial/\partial X_\mu + N \partial/\partial N)^2 - (D-1)(X_\mu \partial/\partial X_\mu + N \partial/\partial N)] D(X_\nu, N) = 0$, which was derived in ref. [9] from the following D_0 brane field action after M-theory,^[7] $\tilde{L} = A \text{ tr} \{[\hat{\Sigma}_{KL}, \hat{D}^\dagger] [\hat{\Sigma}_{KL}, \hat{D}]\} = A' \text{ tr} \{2(R^2/\hbar^2) [\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{D}^\dagger] [\hat{P}_\mu, \hat{D}] - \lambda^{-4} [[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu], \hat{D}^\dagger][[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu], \hat{D}]\}$, with $K, L = (\mu, b)$, by means of the Moyal star product method.

was derived in I for the D -dimensional space-like region with finite radius L in D -dimensional Yang's quantized space-time in the unit of λ . Let us denote the region hereafter as V_D^L , which was defined by

$$\sum_{K \neq 0} \Sigma_{aK}^2 = \sum_{\mu \neq 0} \Sigma_{a\mu}^2 + \Sigma_{ab}^2 = (L/\lambda)^2, \quad (3.4)$$

or

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \cdots + X_{D-1}^2 + R^2 N^2 = L^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, Σ_{MN} 's are presumed to be given in terms of Moyal star product formalism applied to the expression, $\Sigma_{MN} = (-q_M p_n + q_N p_M)$, as was treated in detail in I.

$\mathcal{A}$ in [KHR] (3.1) simply denotes the boundary surface area of V_D^L , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = (\text{area of } S^{D-1}) &= \frac{(2\pi)^{D/2}}{(D-2)!!} (L/\lambda)^{D-1} \quad \text{for } D \text{ even}, \\ &= 2 \frac{(2\pi)^{(D-1)/2}}{(D-2)!!} (L/\lambda)^{D-1} \quad \text{for } D \text{ odd}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, n_{dof}^L in [KHR] (3.1), which denotes, by definition, the number of spatial degrees of freedom of YSTA inside V_D^L , was given in I as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\text{dof}}^L = \dim(\rho_{[L/\lambda]}) &= \frac{2}{(D-1)!} \frac{([L/\lambda] + D - 2)!}{([L/\lambda] - 1)!} \\ &\sim \frac{2}{(D-1)!} [L/\lambda]^{D-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Indeed, the derivation of the above equation (3.7) was the central task in I. In fact, we emphasized that the number of degrees of freedom n_{dof}^L inside V_D^L , which is subject to noncommutative algebra, YSTA, should be, logically and also practically, found in the structure of representation space of YSTA, that is, Hilbert space I defined in section 2. Let us here recapitulate in detail the essence of the derivation in order to make the present paper as self-contained as possible.

In fact, one finds that the representation space needed to calculate n_{dof}^L is prepared in Eq.(2.13), where any "quasi-regular" representation basis, $|t/\lambda, n_{12}, \cdots \rangle$, is decomposed into the infinite series of the ordinary unitary representation bases of $SO(D+1, 1)$, $|\sigma' s; l, m \rangle$. As was stated in subsection

2.2, the latter representation bases, $|\sigma's; l, m \rangle'$ are constructed on the familiar finite dimensional representations of maximal compact subalgebra of YSTA, $SO(D+1)$, whose representation bases are labeled by (l, m) and provide the representation bases for spatial quantities under consideration, because $SO(D+1)$ just involves those spatial operators $(\hat{X}_u, R\hat{N})$.

In order to arrive at the final goal of counting n_{dof}^L , therefore, one has only to find mathematically a certain irreducible representation of $SO(D+1)$, which *properly* describes (as seen in what follows) the spatial quantities $(\hat{X}_u, R\hat{N})$ inside the bounded region with radius L , then one finds n_{dof}^L through counting the dimension of the representation.

At this point, it is important to note that, as was remarked in advance in subsection 2.2, any generators of $SO(D+1)$ in YSTA are defined by the differential operators on the D -dimensional unit sphere, S^D , i.e., $q_1^2 + q_2^2 + \dots + q_{D-1}^2 + q_a^2 + q_b^2 = 1$, limiting its representations with l to be integer.

On the other hand, it is well known that the irreducible representation of arbitrary high-dimensional $SO(D+1)$ on $S^D = SO(D+1)/SO(D)$ is derived in the algebraic way, ^[11] irrelevantly to any detailed knowledge of the decomposition equation (2.13), but solely in accord with the fact that $SO(D+1)$ in YSTA is defined originally on S^D , as mentioned above. One can choose, for instance, $SO(D)$ with generators $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}(M, N = b, u)$, while $SO(D+1)$ with generators $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}(M, N = a, b, u)$. Then, it turns out that any irreducible representation of $SO(D+1)$, denoted by ρ_l , is uniquely designated by the maximal integer l of eigenvalues of $\hat{\Sigma}_{ab}$ in the representation, where $\hat{\Sigma}_{ab}$ is known to be a possible Cartan subalgebra of the so-called compact symmetric pair $(SO(D+1), SO(D))$ of rank 1. ^[11]

According to the so-called Weyl's dimension formula, the dimension of ρ_l is given by ^{[11],[1],[2]}

$$\dim(\rho_l) = \frac{(l + \nu)}{\nu} \frac{(l + 2\nu - 1)!}{l!(2\nu - 1)!}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\nu \equiv (D-1)/2$ and $D \geq 2$.⁵

Finally, we can find a certain irreducible representation of $SO(D+1)$ among those ρ_l 's given above, which *properly* describes (or realizes) the spatial quantities inside the bounded region V_D^L . Now, let us choose tentatively $l = [L/\lambda]$ with $[L/\lambda]$ being the integer part of L/λ . In this case, one finds out that the representation $\rho_{[L/\lambda]}$ just *properly* describes all of generators of $SO(D+1)$ inside the above bounded spatial region V_D^L , because $[L/\lambda]$ indicates also the largest eigenvalue of any generators of $SO(D+1)$ in the representation $\rho_{[L/\lambda]}$

⁵This equation just gives the familiar result $\dim(\rho_l) = 2l + 1$, in the case $SO(3)$ taking $D = 2$.

on account of its $SO(D+1)$ -invariance and hence eigenvalues of spatial quantities $(\hat{X}_u, R\hat{N})$ are well confined inside the bounded region with radius L . As the result, one finds that the dimension of $\rho_{[L/\lambda]}$ just gives the number of spatial degrees of freedom inside V_D^L , n_{dof}^L , as shown in (3.7).

3.2 KHR in the lower-dimensional spatial region V_d^L

According to the argument given for V_D^L in the preceding subsection, let us study the kinematical holographic relation in the lower-dimensional bounded spatial region V_d^L for the subsequent argument of the area-entropy relation in section 4. In fact, it will be given through a simple D_0 brane gas system formed inside d ($\leq D-1$)-dimensional bounded spatial region, V_d^L , which is defined by

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \cdots + X_d^2 = L^2, \quad (3.9)$$

instead of (3.5).

In this case, the boundary area of V_d^L , that is, $\mathcal{A}(V_d^L)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(V_d^L) &= (\text{area of } S^{d-1}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{(d-2)!!} (L/\lambda)^{d-1} \quad \text{for } d \text{ even} \\ &= 2 \frac{(2\pi)^{(d-1)/2}}{(d-2)!!} (L/\lambda)^{d-1} \quad \text{for } d \text{ odd}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

corresponding to Eq. (3.6).

On the other hand, the number of degrees of freedom of V_d^L , let us denote it $n_{\text{dof}}(V_d^L)$, is calculated by applying the arguments given for derivation of n_{dof}^L in (3.7). In fact, it is found in a certain irreducible representation of $SO(d+1)$, a minimum subalgebra of YSTA, which includes the d spatial quantities, $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \cdots, \hat{X}_d$ needed to properly describe V_d^L , and is really constructed by the generators $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$ with M, N ranging over $a, 1, 2, \cdots, d$. The representation of $SO(d+1)$, let us denote it $\rho_l(V_d^L)$ with suitable integer $l = [L/\lambda]$, is given on the representation space $S^d = SO(d+1)/SO(d)$, taking the subalgebra $SO(d)$, for instance, $\hat{\Sigma}_{MN}$ with M, N ranging over $1, 2, \cdots, d$, entirely in accord with the argument on the irreducible representation of $SO(D+1)$ given in the preceding subsection 3.1.

One immediately finds that

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\text{dof}}(V_d^L) &= \dim(\rho_{[L/\lambda]}(V_d^L)) = \frac{2}{(d-1)!} \frac{([L/\lambda] + d - 2)!}{([L/\lambda] - 1)!} \\ &\sim \frac{2}{(d-1)!} [L/\lambda]^{d-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

corresponding to (3.7), and there holds, from (3.10) and (3.11), the following kinematical holographic relation for V_d^L in general

$$[KHR] \quad n_{\text{dof}}^L (V_d^L) = \mathcal{A} (V_d^L)/G_d, \quad (3.12)$$

with the proportional constant G_d

$$G_d \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{d/2}}{2} (d-1)!! \quad \text{for } d \text{ even} \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sim (2\pi)^{(d-1)/2} (d-1)!! \quad \text{for } d \text{ odd}, \quad (3.14)$$

corresponding to Eqs. (3.1)- (3.3) for V_D^L .

4 Area-Entropy Relation in D_0 Brane Gas subject to YSTA

4.1 D_0 Brane Gas Model in V_d^L and Its Mass and Entropy

Now, let us consider the central problem of the present paper, that is, the derivation of a possible area-entropy relation through a simple D_0 brane gas^[6] model formed inside V_d^L according to the idea of M-theory. This implies that one has to deal with the dynamical system of the second-quantized D_0 brane field $\hat{D}_0$ inside V_d^L . In the present toy model of the D_0 brane gas, however, we avoid to enter into detail of the dynamics of D_0 brane system, but treat it as an ideal gas, only taking into consideration that the system is developed on V_d^L subject to YSTA and its representation discussed above, but neglecting interactions of D_0 branes, for instance, with strings, as well as possible self-interactions among themselves.

First of all, according to the argument given in the preceding subsection 3.2, the spatial structure of V_d^L is described through the specific representation $\rho_{[L/\lambda]} (V_d^L)$. Let us denote its orthogonal basis-vector system in Hilbert space I, as follows

$$\rho_{[L/\lambda]} (V_d^L) : \quad |m\rangle, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n_{\text{dof}}(V_d^L). \quad (4.1)$$

In the above expression, $n_{\text{dof}} (V_d^L)$ denotes the dimension of the representation $\rho_{[L/\lambda]} (V_d^L)$, as defined in (3.11).

At this point, one should notice that the *second quantized* $\hat{D}_0$ -brane field^[12] on V_d^L must be the linear operators operating on Hilbert space I, and described by $n_{\text{dof}}(V_d^L) \times n_{\text{dof}}(V_d^L)$ matrix under the representation $\rho_{[L/\lambda]} (V_d^L)$ like $\langle m | \hat{D} | n \rangle$ on the one hand, and on the other hand each matrix element must be operators operating on Hilbert space II, playing the role of

creation-annihilation of D_0 branes. On the analogy of the ordinary quantized local field, let us define those creation-annihilation operators through the diagonal parts in the following way:⁶

$$\langle m | \hat{D} | m \rangle \sim \mathbf{a}_m \text{ or } \mathbf{a}_m^\dagger. \quad (4.2)$$

In the above expression, $\mathbf{a}_m$ and $\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger$, respectively, denote annihilation and creation operators of D_0 brane, satisfying the familiar commutation relations,

$$[\mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger] = \delta_{mn}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$[\mathbf{a}_m, \mathbf{a}_n] = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

One notices that the labeling number m of basis vectors, which ranges from 1 to $n_{\text{dof}}(V_d^L)$ plays the role of *spatial coordinates* of V_d^L in the present non-commutative YSTA, corresponding to the so-called lattice point in the lattice theory. Let us denote the *point* hereafter [*site*] or [*site m*] of V_d^L .

Now, let us focus our attention on quantum states constructed dynamically in Hilbert space II by the creation-annihilation operators $\mathbf{a}_m$ and $\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger$ of D_0 branes introduced above at each [*site*] inside V_d^L . One should notice here the important fact that in the present simple D_0 brane gas model neglecting all interactions of D_0 branes, each [*site*] can be regarded as independent quantum system and described in general by own statistical operator, while the total system of gas is described by their direct product. In fact, the statistical operator at each [*site m*] denoted by $\hat{W}[m]$, is given in the following form,

$$\hat{W}[m] = \sum_k w_k | [m] : k \rangle \langle k : [m] |, \quad (4.5)$$

with

$$| [m] : k \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} (\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger)^k | [m] : 0 \rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

That is, $| [m] : k \rangle$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) describes the normalized quantum-mechanical state in Hilbert space II with k D_0 branes constructed by $\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger$ on $| [m] : 0 \rangle$, i.e. the vacuum state of [*site m*].⁷ And w_k 's denote the realization probability of state with occupation number k , satisfying $\sum_k w_k = 1$.

⁶On the other hand, the non-diagonal parts, $\langle m | \hat{D} | n \rangle$, are to be described in terms like $\mathbf{a}_m \mathbf{a}_n^\dagger$ or $\mathbf{a}_m^\dagger \mathbf{a}_n$ in accord with the idea of M-theory where they are conjectured to be concerned with the interactions between [*site m*] and [*site n*]. The details must be left to the rigorous study of the second quantization of D_0 -brane field.^[12]

⁷The proper vacuum state in Hilbert space II is to be expressed by their direct product.

We assume here that the statistical operator at each [site m] is common to every [site] in the present D_0 brane gas under equilibrium state, with the common values of w_k 's and the statistical operator of total system on V_d^L , $\hat{W}(V_d^L)$, is given by

$$\hat{W}(V_d^L) = \hat{W}[1] \otimes \hat{W}[2] \cdots \otimes \hat{W}[m] \cdots \otimes \hat{W}[n_{dof}]. \quad (4.7)$$

Consequently, one finds that the entropy of the total system, $S(V_d^L)$, is given by

$$S(V_d^L) = -\text{Tr} [\hat{W}(V_d^L) \ln \hat{W}(V_d^L)] = n_{dof}(V_d^L) \times S[\text{site}], \quad (4.8)$$

where $S[\text{site}]$ denotes the entropy of each [site] assumed here to be common to every [site] and given by

$$S[\text{site}] = -\text{Tr} [\hat{W}[\text{site}] \ln \hat{W}[\text{site}]] = -\sum_k w_k \ln w_k. \quad (4.9)$$

Comparing this result (4.8) with [KHR] (3.12) derived in the preceding section, we find an important fact that the entropy $S(V_d^L)$ is proportional to the surface area $\mathcal{A}(V_d^L)$, that is, a kind of area-entropy relation ([AER]) of the present system:

$$[AER] \quad S(V_d^L) = \mathcal{A}(V_d^L) \frac{S[\text{site}]}{G_d}, \quad (4.10)$$

where G_d is given by (3.13)-(3.14).

Next, let us introduce the total energy or mass of the system, $M(V_d^L)$. If one denotes the average energy or mass of the individual D_0 brane inside V_d^L by μ , it may be given by

$$M(V_d^L) = \mu \bar{N}[\text{site}] n_{dof}(V_d^L) \sim \mu \bar{N}[\text{site}] \frac{2}{(d-1)!} [L/\lambda]^{d-1}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\bar{N}[\text{site}]$ denotes the average occupation number of D_0 brane at each [site] given by

$$\bar{N}[\text{site}] \equiv \sum_k k w_k. \quad (4.12)$$

Comparing this expression (4.11) with (4.8) and (3.12), respectively, we obtain a kind of mass-entropy relation ([MER])

$$[MER] \quad M(V_d^L)/S(V_d^L) = \mu \bar{N}[\text{site}]/S[\text{site}], \quad (4.13)$$

and a kind of area-mass relation ([AMR])

$$[AMR] \quad M(V_d^L) = \mathcal{A}(V_d^L) \frac{\mu \bar{N}[\text{site}]}{G_d}. \quad (4.14)$$

4.2 Schwarzschild Black Hole and Area-Entropy Relation In D_0 brane Gas System

In the preceding subsection 4.1, we have studied D_0 brane gas system and derived area-entropy relation [AER] (4.10), mass-entropy and area-mass relations, [MER] (4.13) and [AMR] (4.14), which are essentially based on the kinematical holographic relation in YSTA studied in section 3.

At this point, it is quite important to notice that these three relations explicitly depend on the following *static* factors of the gas system, μ , $\bar{N}[\text{site}]$ and $S[\text{site}]$, that is, the average energy of individual D_0 brane, the average occupation number of D_0 branes and the entropy at each [site], which are assumed to be common to every [site], while these factors turn out to play an important role in arriving finally at the area-entropy relation in connection with black holes, as will be seen below.

Now, let us investigate how the present gas system tends to a black hole. We assume for simplicity that the system is under $d = 3$, and becomes a Schwarzschild black hole, in which the above factors acquire certain limiting values, μ_S , $\bar{N}_S[\text{site}]$ and $S_S[\text{site}]$, while the size of the system, L , becomes R_S , that is, the so-called Schwarzschild radius given by

$$R_S \equiv 2GM(V_3^{R_S})/c^2, \quad (4.15)$$

where G and c denote Newton's constant and the light velocity, respectively, and $M(V_3^{R_S})$ is given by Eq.(4.11) with $L = R_S$, $\mu = \mu_S$ and $\bar{N}[\text{site}] = \bar{N}_S[\text{site}]$. Indeed, inserting the above values into Eq.(4.11), we arrive at the important relation, called hereafter the black hole condition [BHC],

$$[BHC] \quad M(V_3^{R_S}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\mu_S \bar{N}_S[\text{site}]} \frac{c^4}{G^2} = \frac{M_P^2}{4\mu_S \bar{N}_S[\text{site}]}. \quad (4.16)$$

In the last expression, we assumed that λ , i.e., the small scale parameter in YSTA is equal to Planck length $l_P = [G\hbar/c^3]^{1/2} = \hbar/(cM_P)$, where M_P denotes Planck mass.

On the other hand, we simply obtain the area-entropy relation [AER] under the Schwarzschild black hole by inserting the above limiting values into [AER] (4.10)

$$S(V_3^{R_S}) = \mathcal{A}(V_3^{R_S}) \frac{S_S[\text{site}]}{4\pi}, \quad (4.17)$$

noting that $G_3 = 4\pi$.

At this point, one finds that it is a very important problem how to relate the above area-entropy relation under a Schwarzschild black hole with [AER]

(4.10) of D_0 brane gas system in general, which is derived irrelevantly of the detail whether the system is a black hole or not. As was mentioned in the beginning of this subsection, however, the problem seems to exceed the applicability limit of the present toy model of D_0 brane gas, where the system is treated solely as a *static* state under *given* values of parameters, μ , $\bar{N}[\text{site}]$ and $S[\text{site}]$, while the critical behavior around the formation of Schwarzschild black hole must be hidden in a possible *dynamical* change of their values.

In order to supplement such a defect of the present static toy model, let us try here a Gedanken-experiment, in which one increases the entropy of the gas system $S(V_3^L)$, keeping its size L at the initial value L_0 , until the system tends to a Schwarzschild black hole, where Eqs.(4.16) and (4.17) with $R_S = L_0$ hold. Then, one finds that according to [AER] (4.10), the entropy of $[\text{site}]$, $S[\text{site}]$ increases proportionally to $S(V_3^L)$ and reaches the limiting value $S_S[\text{site}]$, starting from any initial value $S_0[\text{site}]$ prior to formation of the black hole, because $\mathcal{A}(V_d^L)$ in Eq.(4.10) is invariant during the process. Namely, one finds a very simple fact that $S_0[\text{site}] \leq S_S[\text{site}]$. However, this simple fact combined with [AER] (4.10) leads us to the following form of a new area-entropy relation which holds throughout for the D_0 brane gas system up to the formation of Schwarzschild black hole,⁸

$$[\text{AER}] \quad S(V_3^L) \leq \frac{\mathcal{A}(V_3^L)S_S[\text{site}]}{4\pi}, \quad (4.18)$$

where the equality holds for Schwarzschild black hole, as seen in Eq. (4.17).

5 Concluding Remarks

We have derived the area-entropy relation [AER] (4.18) together with (4.10) in our toy model of D_0 brane gas subject to Yang's quantized space-time algebra, YSTA. Indeed, it is essentially based on the fundamental nature of the noncommutative geometry of YSTA, that is, the kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom and holographic relation in YSTA, which was pointed out in I and now extended to the lower dimensional region, V_d^L , as shown by [KHR] (3.12) in section 3. In addition, it should be noted that [AER] (4.18) has been derived with aid of a crude Gedanken-experiment on D_0 brane gas system.

⁸Similarly, by the second Gedanken-experiment, in which one increases the total mass of gas system $M(V_3^L)$ with the fixed size L_0 in connection with [AMR] (4.14), in place of the increase of the entropy of gas system $S(V_3^L)$ in the first Gedanken-experiment, one obtains a new area-mass relation [AMR], $M(V_3^L) \leq \mathcal{A}(V_3^L)\mu_S\bar{N}_S[\text{site}]/4\pi$.

Before entering into discussions on the implication of the relation, let us consider [AER] (4.18) in comparison with the Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy relation or holographic principle, which has been discussed in various ways during the past decades and typically shown in the simple form as $S \leq \mathcal{A}/4$ in accord with the expression in [AER] (4.18). First of all, one finds out that the latter relation provides an important knowledge on the present [AER] (4.18), that is,

$$S_S[site]/4\pi = 1/4 \quad (5.1)$$

or

$$S_S[site] = \pi. \quad (5.2)$$

If one remembers that $S[site]$ can be expressed in general by

$$S[site] = \ln \mathcal{N}[site] \quad (5.3)$$

with $\mathcal{N}[site]$ the number of independent quantum states in $[site]$ or, roughly speaking, the maximal limit of occupation number k in Eqs. (4.5)-(4.6), one finds out that Eq. (5.2) implies

$$\mathcal{N}_S[site] = e^\pi. \quad (5.4)$$

At this point, there arises a fundamental question why our present approach is unable to derive such a condition (5.2) or (5.4). It is certainly clear, because of the applicability of the present toy model of D_0 brane gas where the system is treated as a kind of ideal gas of D_0 branes neglecting all of their interactions, as was stated in the beginning of section 4. It is well-known that the factor $1/4$ on the right hand side of (5.1) comes from the consideration of Hawking radiation of black holes.

Furthermore, the present approach does not answer the question what happens when $S[site]$ exceeds $S_S[site]$. It is surely concerned with the problem of gravitational collapse or evaporation of black hole. In order to answer these questions, therefore, it is clear that one has to take into consideration at least the interactions of D_0 branes with gravitation- or radiation- fields, which are expected to come from interactions of D_0 branes with open and closed strings. All of them, however, exceed the scope of the present paper. We have tried here solely to give an outline to arrive at area-entropy relation in our present scheme, leaving the satisfactory treatment of the quantum field theory of D_0 brane^[12] subject to YSTA to the forthcoming paper, in which we expect further that the theory is ultimately free from UV- and IR-divergences.^{[1],[2],[13]}

References

- [1] S. Tanaka, "Kinematical reduction of spatial degrees of freedom and holographic relation in Yang's quantized space-time algebra," *Found. Phys.* **39** 510 (2009), hep-th/0710.5438.
- [2] S. Tanaka, "Contracted representation of Yang's space-time algebra and Buniy-Hsu-Zee's discrete space-time," *Found. Phys. Lett.* **19** 567(2006), hep-th/0511023.
- [3] C. N. Yang, *Phys. Rev.* **72** 874 (1947); *Proc. of International Conf. on Elementary Particles*, pp 322-323 Kyoto (1965);
- [4] J. Bekenstein, "Black holes and entropy" *Phys. Rev.* **D7** 2333 (1973);
 S. W. Hawking, "Black holes and thermodynamics" *Phys. Rev.* **D13** 191 (1976);
 S. W. Hawking, "Black hole explosions," *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974);
 J. D. Bekenstein, "Holographic bound from second law of thermodynamics," *Phys. Lett.* **B481** (2000) 339, hep-th/0003058;
 J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, "D-branes and fat black hole," *Nucl. Phys.* **B475** (1996) 679, hep-th/9604042;
 A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy," *Phys. Lett.* **B379** (1996) 99, hep-th/9601029.
- [5] G. 't Hooft, "Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity," gr-qc/9310026;
 L. Susskind, "The world as a hologram," *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 6337 (1995), hep-th/9409089;
 L. Susskind and E. Witten, "The holographic bound in Anti-de Sitter space, hep-th:9805114;
 R. Bousso, "Holography in general space-times," *JHEP* 9906. 028 (1999), hep-th/9906022;
 R. Bousso, "The holographic principle", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**:825-874(2002), hep-th/0203101.
- [6] T. Banks, W. Fischler, I.R. Klebanov and L. Susskind, "Schwarzschild black holes from matrix theory," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**:226-229(1998), hep-th/9709091;
 I.R. Klebanov and L. Susskind, "Schwarzschild black holes in various dimensions from matrix theory," *Phys. Lett.* **B** 416:62-66 (1998), hep-th/9709108;

- M. Li, "Matrix Schwarzschild black holes in large N limit," *JHEP* 9801.009 (1998), hep-th/9710226;
- [7] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker and L. Susskind, "M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture," *Phys. Rev.* **D55** 5112 (1997), hep-th/9610043.
 - [8] H. S. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **71** 38 (1947); **72** 68 (1947).
 - [9] S. Tanaka, "Noncommutative field theory on Yang's space-time algebra, covariant Moyal star product and matrix model," hep-th/0406166;
S. Tanaka, "Yang's quantized space-time algebra and holographic hypothesis", hep-th/0303105.
 - [10] N. Ja. Vilenkin and A. U. Klimyk, *Representation of Lie groups and Special Functions II* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993).
 - [11] M. Takeuchi, *Modern Spherical Functions* (Transl. Math. Monogr. **135**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993).
 - [12] S. Tanaka, "Space-time quantization and matrix model," *Nuovo Cim.* **114 B** 49 (1999), hep-th/9808064;
S. Tanaka, "Space-time quantization and nonlocal field theory - Relativistic second quantization of matrix model," hep-th/0002001.
 - [13] S. Tanaka, "From Yukawa to M-theory," Proc. of Int. Symp. on Hadron Spectroscopy, Chiral Symmetry and Relativistic Description of Bound Systems, 3 (2003), hep-th/0306047.